

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,318	09/09/2003	Joseph H. Johnston SR.	100 LHI	2149	
7590 02:16/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Judy Jarecki-Black, Ph.D., J.D 3239 Satellite Blvd.			ALEXANDER, REGINALD		
Duluth, GA 3			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1761		
			DATE MAILED: 02/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	10/658,318	JOHNSTON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 13-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8-12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 5-18 should be renumbered 6-19.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakagawa.

There is disclosed in Nakagawa a cooking apparatus, comprising: a cooking container 1 having an inherent bottom surface and a side wall, defining an interior volume; a shield 6 contiguous with the sidewall of the container and comprising an upper portion and a lower portion, wherein the upper portion comprises a sidewall having an interior surface, a lower edge, and a slanting bottom, an upper surface connected to the lower edge of the side wall, and a lower surface and wherein the lower

portion of the shield has a side wall having an upper edge connected to the lower surface of the bottom; and a lid having a handle.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakagawa in view of Loyd et al. '524.

Loyd discloses a shield 112 configured to be removably received by a cooking container, a handle 24 attached to a side wall of the shield and container, and a lid 16 which covers an upper portion of the shield.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the device of Nakagawa with that taught by Loyd and construct the shield to be removable, in order to use the device without the shield when it is not needed.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the shield and container side walls of Nakagawa with a handle as taught by Loyd, in order to allow manipulation of the container and shield by the user without the threat of harm.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakagawa in view of Bourgeois.

Bourgeois discloses the use of a supporting stand 11 having means 16, 17 for securing a cooking container.

Art Unit: 1761

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of Nakagawa with the stand disclosed in Bourgeois, in order to provide a heating source to the container.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18 and 19 are allowed.

Claims 8-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Dembois and Loyd et al. '453 are cited for their disclosure of the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-4897. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,318

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla February 14, 2005 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761 Page 5